

Highlights of “Performance Analysis of Options-Based Equity Mutual Funds, CEFs, and ETFs”

Keith Black, Ph.D., CAIA, CFA

Managing Director of CAIA (Chartered Alternative Investment Analyst Association)

Edward Szado, Ph.D., CFA

Assistant Professor of Finance, Providence College

Director of Research, INGARM (Institute for Global Asset and Risk Management)



www.INGARM.org

Jan. 31, 2015

The authors are grateful for the valuable research support of graduate students Satyabrota Das and Abdul Mohi Khizer from the Isenberg School of Management at the University of Massachusetts-Amherst.

Introduction

Executive Summary

- The first SEC-registered funds focused on the trading of options were launched in the U.S. in 1977, and by 2003 there were twelve such funds. Over the last ten years the category has grown substantially, to the point where there are now at least 119 SEC-registered funds (including mutual funds (MFds), closed-end funds (CEFs), and exchange-traded funds (ETFs)), with an aggregate value of more than \$46 billion in assets under management (AUM), that are focused on the use of exchange-listed options for portfolio management purposes. The fund performance analysis in this paper examines a subset of 80 (of the 119) funds that focus on the use of options in portfolios with broadly diversified U.S. equity holdings.
- There are several strategies that an options-based fund may follow, including selling covered calls, selling cash-secured puts, buying protective put options, or investing in collars. The Chicago Board Options Exchange® (CBOE®), which sponsored this study, lists several benchmark indices (including the BXMSM, BXYSM and PUTSM indexes) that follow these strategies.

Please email comments to eszado@providence.edu, kblack@caia.org or institutional@cboe.com.

Summary of Results

Key findings of the new study include:

- **GROWTH IN NUMBER OF FUNDS.** An annual chart in the study shows that the number of Options-Based Funds grew from 10 in 2000 to 119 in 2014.
- **15-YEAR ANALYSIS OF FUNDS.** The study performed an analysis of the equal-weighted performance of 80 Options-Based Funds that focus on use of U.S. stock index options and/or equity options during the 15-year period from 2000 through 2014, and found that –
- **HIGHER RISK-ADJUSTED RETURNS.** The Options-Based Funds had similar returns as the S&P 500® Index with lower volatility and lower maximum drawdowns. The Options-Based Funds had higher risk-adjusted returns, as measured by the Sharpe Ratio, Sortino Ratio, and Stutzer Index.
- **ANALYSIS OF OPTIONS-BASED BENCHMARKS OVER 26½ YEARS.** The study also performed an analysis of the performance over the period from mid-1988 through the end of 2014 for various options-based benchmark indexes that use S&P 500® (SPXSM) options and for some traditional benchmark indexes.
- **STRONG PERFORMANCE FOR BENCHMARKS THAT USE SPXSM INDEX OPTIONS.** During the 26 ½ year-time period, both the CBOE S&P 500 PutWrite Index (PUTSM) and the CBOE S&P 500 2% OTM BuyWrite Index (BXYSM) had higher returns and lower volatility than the S&P 500® Index. A key source of strong risk-adjusted returns has been the fact that the index options usually have been richly priced.

Co-authors of the Study

Keith Black, Ph.D., CAIA, CFA

Keith Black has over twenty years of financial market experience, serving approximately half of that time as an academic and half as a trader and consultant to institutional investors. He currently serves as Managing Director of Curriculum and Exams for the CAIA Association. During his most recent role at Ennis Knupp + Associates, Keith advised foundations, endowments and pension funds on their asset allocation and manager selection strategies in hedge funds, commodities and managed futures. Prior experience includes commodities derivatives trading at First Chicago Capital Markets, stock options research and CBOE market-making for Hull Trading Company, and building quantitative stock selection models for mutual funds and hedge funds for Chicago Investment Analytics. Dr. Black previously served as an assistant professor and senior lecturer at the Illinois Institute of Technology's Stuart school, where he taught courses in both traditional and alternative investments.

He contributes regularly to *The CFA Digest*, and has published in a number of journals, including *The Journal of Trading* and *The Journal of Alternative Investments*. He is the author of the book "Managing a Hedge Fund," as well as a contributor to the second and third editions of the CAIA Level I and Level II textbooks. Dr. Black was named to Institutional Investor magazine's list of "Rising Stars of Hedge Funds" in 2010.

Dr. Black earned a BA from Whittier College, an MBA from Carnegie Mellon University, and a Ph.D. from the Illinois Institute of Technology. He has earned the Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) designation and was a member of the inaugural class of the Chartered Alternative Investment Analyst (CAIA) candidates.

Edward Szado, Ph.D., CFA

Edward Szado is Assistant Professor of Finance, Providence College. He has also taught Risk Management at the Boston University School of Management, Derivatives at Clark University and a range of finance courses at the University of Massachusetts Amherst. He is also the Director of Research at the Institute for Global Asset and Risk Management. He is a former options trader and his experience includes product development in the areas of volatility-based investments and structured investment products. He has consulted to the Options Industry Council, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, the Chartered Alternative Investment Analyst Association and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

He has published numerous articles in a wide variety of journals and contributed to a number of books and trade magazines. He previously served as an Assistant Editor of the *Journal of Alternative Investments* and was a Founding Editor of the *Alternative Investment Analysts Review*.

Dr. Szado earned a BComm from McMaster University, an MBA from Tulane University and a Ph.D. in Finance from the Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. He has also earned the Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) designation.

Methodology

- In November 2014, we undertook a comprehensive search for SEC-registered investment companies that invest in options, building on data sourced through Bloomberg and Morningstar. Using keyword searches for funds with options trading activity, we narrowed the list to those funds benchmarked to a broad US equity index. Funds with objectives other than broad-based US equities were eliminated, excluding the categories of fixed income, currencies, commodities, international and global equity, narrow sector funds (such as master limited partnerships), and futures based products (such as the CBOE Volatility Index® (VIX® index)).
- Once the candidate funds were identified, we confirmed each fund's options trading activity using portfolio disclosures or summary fund descriptions provided in public filings or on the web site of each fund manager. Based on position information and strategy descriptions we excluded funds that used options sporadically and funds whose option positions were a trivial part of their overall portfolio. The goal was to include only broad-based US equity funds that used options as an integral part of their investment strategy.
- The sample utilized in the performance analysis of this study (in Exhibits 2 through 22) consists of 80 investment companies -- 51 open-end mutual funds (MFd), 22 closed-end funds (CEF), and 7 exchange-traded index funds (ETF). While we acknowledge that this study may have survivorship bias, we believe this to be of little impact due to the relatively new nature of this fund category and the minimal news on the liquidation of these publicly-traded funds. Our sample of 80 investment companies had assets under management (AUM) of \$27.6 billion at the end of 2014.
- In Exhibit 1 only, we also included thirty-nine additional options-based funds with non-US equity objectives, so that Exhibit 1 has 119 funds with an aggregate total AUM of \$46 billion at the end of 2014. Tables with lists of the names and ticker symbols for the 119 funds are provided in Exhibit 24. Funds benchmarked to indices beyond US equities are beyond the scope of this study.
- In order to analyze the performance of the Options-Based Funds, we created an equal-weighted (EW) index of the funds starting in January, 2000. This entailed calculating the average returns each month across all option-based funds that existed that month. While only six mutual funds were included in the Option-Based Funds EW category for the first month, additional MFs, CEFs and ETFs were added in subsequent months and the number of funds included in the calculation grew monthly as new funds entered the sample ultimately reaching 80 funds by December, 2014. In Exhibit 2 through 22, we provide a performance analysis for total return indices that are pre-tax and that include (for stock indexes) reinvested dividends.

Strategy Descriptions

	Strategy	Year Introduced	Earliest Historical Price
CBOE S&P 500 Buy Write Index (BXM)	Purchase stocks in the S&P 500 index, and each month sell at-the-money index call options	2002	June 30, 1986
CBOE S&P 500 2% OTM Buy Write Index (BXY)	Purchase stocks in the S&P 500 index, and each month sell index call options 2% out-of-the-money	2006	June 1, 1988
CBOE S&P 500 PutWrite Index (PUT)	Purchase Treasury bills and sell cash-secured put options on the S&P 500 index	2007	June 30, 1986
CBOE S&P 500 95-110 Collar Index (CLL)	Purchase stocks in the S&P 500 index, and each month sell index call options at 110% of the index value, and each quarter purchase index put options at 95% of the index value	2008	June 30, 1986
Options-Based Funds (OBF)	Actively-managed and index funds trading options on US stocks and stock indices. Strategies can vary, but are most likely to sell calls or sell puts against stock, index, or cash holdings	2015	January 1, 2000

Returns to CBOE indices are presented gross of fees, while Options-Based Fund returns are calculated net of fees.

Exhibit 1 - Number of Option-Based Funds in Sample (Dec. 31, 2000 to Dec 31, 2014)




Exhibit 1: Number of option-based funds included in the sample at year-end. Option-based funds benchmarked to a broad US equity index are included in the analysis. The "Other" category includes option-based closed-end, exchange traded and mutual funds which are excluded from the analysis since they have objectives other than broad-based US equities. These include fixed income, currencies, commodities, international and global equity, narrow sector funds (such as master limited partnerships), and futures based products (such as the VIX index). While CEF growth peaked in 2007, option-based mutual funds have been growing significantly in number since late-2008, and more recently, option-based ETFs have exhibited strong growth. While the exhibit only shows growth since 2000, the fund with the earliest inception date included in the study dates back to 1977.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 2 - Options-Based Funds and Stock Indices - Cumulative Growth of \$100

(Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 2: Cumulative monthly total return since January 1, 2000 for a monthly rebalanced equally weighted portfolio of Options-Based Funds, the BXM index and various traditional indices. Performance is scaled to represent a starting value of \$100 at the market close on December 31, 1999 for all indices. Performance of the Equally Weighted Option-Based Fund Portfolio closely tracks the BXM index. The Equally Weighted Option-Based Fund Portfolio returns are calculated by averaging the returns across all constituents in the sample available at each month-end. The number of funds included in the calculation grows monthly as new funds enter the sample.

Sources: Bloomberg and Morningstar

Exhibit 3 - Annualized Total Returns - Options-Based Funds and Benchmark Indices

(Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 3: Annualized compound total returns for monthly rebalanced equal weighted index of Options-Based Funds and traditional indices. Annualized compound total returns represent the total cumulative growth over the period converted into an annual compounded return. Options-Based Funds have slightly outperformed the S&P 500 on a raw-return basis since January 1, 2000.

Sources: Bloomberg and Morningstar

Exhibit 4 - Annualized Standard Deviation - Options-Based Funds and Benchmark Indices

(Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 4: In addition, Options-Based Funds had a lower standard deviation than the S&P 500 Index

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 5 - Maximum Drawdown - Options-Based Funds and Benchmark Indices (Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 5: Maximum Drawdown is an indicator of the worst loss an investment could have exhibited in a historical period. Options-Based Funds had lower drawdown risk than the S&P 500 Index.

Sources: *Morningstar and Bloomberg.*

Exhibit 6 - Return in 2008: Option-Based and Traditional Indices




Exhibit 6: Options-Based Indices experienced much lower losses in 2008 than the S&P 500 Index.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 7 - Rolling 36-Month Historical Annualized Returns (Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 7: Options-Based Funds typically outperform the S&P 500 in down markets and underperform in strong markets, while exhibiting lower risk than the S&P 500 Index. A high correlation of returns is noted between the options-based funds and the BXM Index.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 8 - Rolling 36-Month Historical Annualized Standard Deviation

(Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 8: Options-Based Funds typically outperform the S&P 500 in down markets and underperform in strong markets, while exhibiting lower risk than the S&P 500 Index. A high correlation of returns is noted between the options-based funds and the BXM Index.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 9 - Summary Statistics - Options-Based Funds and Benchmark Indices

(Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)

January 2000 to December 2014	Options-Based Funds	S&P 500	BXM - CBOE S&P 500 BuyWrite	S&P GSCI	Citi Treasury 30 Yr
Annualized Return	4.21%	4.24%	4.07%	1.04%	8.17%
Standard Deviation	11.06%	15.26%	11.36%	23.40%	13.83%
Semi-Standard Deviation	12.78%	17.70%	14.16%	24.95%	14.11%
Average Monthly Return	0.40%	0.44%	0.39%	0.32%	0.74%
Skew	-0.80	-0.58	-1.11	-0.46	0.27
Kurtosis	2.17	1.01	3.79	1.30	3.01
Auto-correlation	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.19	0.03
Maximum Drawdown	-42.24%	-50.95%	-35.81%	-69.38%	-25.96%
Beta to S&P 500	0.65	1.00	0.66	0.44	-0.27
Correlation with S&P 500	0.90	1.00	0.89	0.29	-0.29
Annual Sharpe Ratio	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.09	0.51
Stutzer Index	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.09	0.51
Sortino Ratio	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.08	0.50
Jensen's Annual Alpha	0.65%	0.00%	0.52%	0.46%	7.99%
Leland's Annual Alpha	0.65%	0.00%	0.48%	0.25%	7.90%
M-Squared	5.88%	5.34%	5.64%	3.11%	9.55%

Exhibit 9: The return and risk of Options-Based Funds compare favorably to long-only equity indices. Stutzer Index and Leland's Alpha are alternatives to the Sharpe Ratio and Jensen's Alpha, respectively, that compensate for non-Normal return distributions.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 10 - Return-to-Risk Ratios – Options-Based Funds and Benchmark Indices

(Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 10: Options-Based Funds had higher risk-adjusted returns than the S&P 500 Index. The Sortino ratio compares downside risk, while the Stutzer Index accounts for skewness and kurtosis in the risk measures.

Sources: *Morningstar and Bloomberg.*

Exhibit 11 - Risk-Adjusted Return Measures – Options-Based Funds and Benchmark Indices

(Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 11: Jensen's Alpha, Leland's Alpha and M2 all provide measures of risk-adjusted performance relative to the S&P 500. Leland's alpha accounts for skewness and kurtosis in the return distributions. Options-Based Funds had higher risk-adjusted returns than the S&P 500 Index by all three measures.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 12 - Options-Based Funds Annual Distribution Yield (Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 12: The exhibit provides the annual average distribution yield calculated as the total distributions for each fund over a calendar year divided by the ending price of the fund for the previous year, and averaged across all funds in the Options-Based Funds index.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 13 - Risk/Return Trade-Off - Options-Based Funds and Benchmark Indices

(Jan. 1, 2000 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 13: Annualized return and standard deviation for traditional and option-based indices as well as a portfolio consisting of 60% S&P 500 and 40% 30-year Treasury bonds, and a portfolio consisting of 20% Options-Based Funds and 80% invested in the 60/40 stock/bond portfolio. Options-Based Funds had risk and return more similar to a 60% stock, 40% bond portfolio rather than a long-only equity investment.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 14 - Index Cumulative Growth of \$100 Since Mid-1988 – Benchmark Indices (Jul. 1, 1988 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 14: Cumulative monthly total return since July 1, 1988 for the BXM index and various traditional indices. Performance is scaled to represent a starting value of \$100 on June 30, 1988 for all indices

Sources: Bloomberg and Morningstar.

Exhibit 15 - Annualized Returns Since Mid-1988 – Benchmark Indices (Jul. 1, 1988 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 15: Options-Based Strategy Indices had a longer track record than most single funds. Over 25 years, BXY and PUT had a higher total return than the S&P 500. It is worth noting that BXY and PUT were introduced in 2006 and 2007, respectively, and earlier data has been backfilled.

Sources: *Morningstar and Bloomberg.*

Exhibit 16 - Annualized Standard Deviation Since Mid-1988 – Benchmark Indices

(Jul. 1, 1988 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 16: While BXY and PUT had a higher total return than the S&P 500 over the past 25 years, they also had a lower standard deviation. It is worth noting that BXY and PUT were introduced in 2006 and 2007, respectively, and earlier data has been backfilled..

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 17 – Risk/Return Trade-Off Since Mid-1988 – Benchmark Indices (Jul. 1, 1988 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 17: Options-Based Strategy Indices can build more efficient portfolios, with similar return and lower risk than the S&P 500 Index.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 18 - Summary Statistics Table Since Mid-1988 - Benchmark Indices (Jul. 1, 1988 - Dec. 31, 2014)

Jul. 1, 1988 to Dec. 31, 2014	BXM - CBOE S&P 500 BuyWrite	PUT - CBOE S&P 500 PutWrite Index	BXY - CBOE S&P 500 2% OTM BuyWrite	CLL - CBOE S&P 500 95-110 Collar Index	S&P 500	S&P GSCI	Citi Treasury 30 Yr
Annualized Return	9.25%	10.57%	10.60%	6.86%	10.33%	3.87%	8.17%
Standard Deviation	10.26%	9.78%	12.05%	10.49%	14.49%	20.90%	12.15%
Semi-Standard Deviation Below Mean	13.23%	12.83%	14.37%	11.06%	16.61%	21.33%	12.20%
Average Monthly Return	0.78%	0.88%	0.90%	0.60%	0.91%	0.50%	0.72%
Skew	-1.30	-1.99	-0.91	-0.17	-0.61	-0.18	0.23
Kurtosis	4.86	9.51	2.75	-0.22	1.27	2.09	3.20
Auto-correlation	0.08	0.12	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.20	0.07
Beta to S&P 500	0.62	0.55	0.78	0.66	1.00	0.24	-0.07
Correlation with S&P 500	0.88	0.82	0.93	0.92	1.00	0.17	-0.09
Maximum Drawdown	-35.81%	-32.66%	-40.31%	-35.47%	-50.95%	-69.38%	-25.96%
Annual Sharpe Ratio	0.61	0.76	0.64	0.39	0.54	0.14	0.45
Stutzer Index	0.59	0.71	0.62	0.39	0.53	0.14	0.45
Sortino Ratio	0.47	0.58	0.54	0.37	0.47	0.13	0.45
Treynor Ratio	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.12	-0.74
Jensen's Annual Alpha	1.44%	3.13%	1.66%	-1.10%	0.00%	0.98%	6.03%
Leland's Annual Alpha	1.23%	2.85%	1.54%	-0.86%	0.00%	0.33%	5.99%
M-Squared	11.99%	14.17%	12.42%	8.75%	10.93%	5.13%	9.67%

Exhibit 18: BXM, PUT, and BXY had a positive alpha and a lower standard deviation of returns than the S&P 500 Index.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 19 - Monthly Options Premiums (Gross) Received by BXM Index (Jun. 17, 1988 – Dec. 19, 2014)




Exhibit 19: The BXM, BXY, and PUT strategies regularly sell S&P 500 Index options. The premium earned varies over time, but has averaged 1.8% per month for BXM. Premiums earned can support a high income yield for Options-Based Funds. Since mid-1988 the SPX call options monthly premium received per the hypothetical BXM strategy averaged 1.8% of the value of the stock position held.

* Please note that while these gross amounts are positive values, a buy-write strategy can have negative net returns if the value of the stocks held declines.

Source: www.cboe.com/buywrite .

Exhibit 20 - Quarterly Average 30-Day Richness of S&P 500 (SPX) Options (Jan. 1, 1990 to Dec. 31, 2014)




Exhibit 20: Richness is calculated as the level of the VIX index at the start of a 30-day period (implied volatility) minus the annualized standard deviation of returns of the S&P 500 that is actually realized in that 30-day period (realized volatility). Since the VIX index is a forward looking measure, each VIX index level corresponds with the same 30-day period as the forward looking annualized standard deviation calculation. During times when this richness measure is positive, sellers of options may earn a profit relative to the amount by which implied volatility exceeds realized volatility. During the 25-year period shown in this chart, the average level of the VIX index was about 20.0 and the average realized volatility was 18.8%, so the S&P 500 Index options were richly priced by about 1.2%. Please note that the final calculation in this time series is made on Dec. 2, 2014 to cover data through Dec. 31, 2014 since these measures are forward looking.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

Exhibit 21 - Notional Value of Average Daily Volume in S&P 500 (SPX) Options (in \$ Billions) (2000-2014)




Exhibit 21: Fund managers examine trading liquidity and capacity when considering investment vehicles. The approximate daily notional value of trading in SPX options in 2014 can be estimated by multiplying the average daily volume (888,089 contracts) times the value of the S&P 500 Index (average of 1931) times the \$100 options contract multiplier, for a value of more than \$170 billion per day. Some investors use a delta-weighting multiplier to develop a more conservative estimate for notional value of options trading. Sources: Bloomberg and CBOE.

Exhibit 22 - Annual Returns - Options-Based Funds and Benchmark Indices (1987 - 2014)

	BXM	Options-Based Funds	PUT	BXY	CLL	S&P 500	GSCI	30 Yr TBond	EAFE
1987	-3.0%		-2.6%		12.4%	5.3%	23.8%	-8.0%	24.6%
1988	21.0%		19.7%		6.1%	16.6%	27.9%	8.1%	28.3%
1989	25.0%		24.6%	32.6%	26.0%	31.7%	38.3%	20.3%	10.5%
1990	4.0%		8.9%	1.9%	-0.1%	-3.1%	29.1%	4.8%	-23.4%
1991	24.4%		21.3%	22.9%	13.6%	30.5%	-6.1%	17.3%	12.1%
1992	11.5%		13.8%	11.0%	4.3%	7.6%	4.4%	6.8%	-12.2%
1993	14.1%		14.1%	11.0%	6.2%	10.1%	-12.3%	18.3%	32.6%
1994	4.5%		7.1%	4.6%	-2.0%	1.3%	5.3%	-11.9%	7.8%
1995	21.0%		16.9%	33.2%	34.4%	37.6%	20.3%	33.5%	11.2%
1996	15.5%		16.4%	19.8%	18.5%	23.0%	33.9%	-4.4%	6.0%
1997	26.6%		27.7%	29.7%	23.9%	33.4%	-14.1%	15.4%	1.8%
1998	18.9%		18.5%	21.2%	18.8%	28.6%	-35.7%	16.5%	20.0%
1999	21.2%		21.0%	19.7%	9.0%	21.0%	40.9%	-14.9%	27.0%
2000	7.4%	2.9%	13.1%	2.0%	-9.1%	-9.1%	49.7%	20.0%	-14.2%
2001	-10.9%	-1.5%	-10.6%	-11.4%	3.8%	-11.9%	-31.9%	3.4%	-21.4%
2002	-7.6%	-8.0%	-8.6%	-12.3%	-11.1%	-22.1%	32.1%	16.2%	-15.9%
2003	19.4%	22.5%	21.8%	24.9%	17.9%	28.7%	20.7%	0.8%	38.6%
2004	8.3%	4.6%	9.5%	9.7%	4.9%	10.9%	17.3%	8.7%	20.2%
2005	4.2%	-0.5%	6.7%	4.4%	2.0%	4.9%	25.6%	8.8%	13.5%
2006	13.3%	19.4%	15.2%	17.1%	11.7%	15.8%	-15.1%	-1.1%	26.3%
2007	6.6%	-4.3%	9.5%	6.1%	0.9%	5.5%	32.7%	10.2%	11.2%
2008	-28.7%	-29.1%	-26.8%	-31.2%	-23.6%	-37.0%	-46.5%	41.3%	-43.4%
2009	25.9%	32.5%	31.5%	32.1%	17.6%	26.5%	13.5%	-25.9%	31.8%
2010	5.9%	8.7%	9.0%	9.8%	4.1%	15.1%	9.0%	8.7%	7.8%
2011	5.7%	-1.5%	6.2%	7.2%	-8.8%	2.1%	-1.2%	35.4%	-12.1%
2012	5.2%	10.4%	8.1%	10.2%	6.8%	16.0%	0.1%	2.4%	17.3%
2013	13.3%	16.3%	12.3%	20.8%	23.8%	32.4%	-1.2%	-15.0%	22.8%
2014	5.6%	5.8%	6.4%	5.5%	9.2%	13.7%	-33.1%	29.3%	-4.9%

Exhibit 22: Annual Returns for each year since 1987 of Options-Based Funds, options-based indices and traditional indices.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

"Performance Analysis of Options-Based Equity Mutual Funds, CEFs, and ETFs" (January 2015) Please see the last slide for important disclosures. 27

Exhibit 23 - List of 80 Options-Based Funds (focused on U.S. Equities)

The largest funds in the sample are *GATEX* (\$8.2B), *ETY* (\$1.8B), *BDJ* (\$1.7B) and *NFJ* (\$1.7B), while *GATEX* (1977), *TDEYX* (1978) and *MEQFX* (1992) have the earliest inception dates.

	TYPE	NAME	TICKER		TYPE	NAME	TICKER		TYPE	NAME	TICKER
1	MFd	Alliance Bernstein/TWM Global Equity & Covered Call Strategy Fund - Institutional	TWMLX	28	CEF	Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Buy-Write Income Common	ETB	55	MFd	Leigh Baldwin Total Return	LEBOX
2	CEF	AllianzGI NFJ Dividend Interest & Premium Common	NFJ	29	CEF	Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Buy-Write Opportunities Common	ETV	56	MFd	LS Theta - Institutional	LQTIX
3	MFd	AllianzGI Structured Return A-Class	AZIA	30	CEF	Eaton Vance Tax-Managed Dividend Equity Income Common	ETY	57	MFd	Madison Covered Call & Equity Income - Class A	MENAX
4	MFd	AllianzGI US Equity-Hedged - Institutional	AZUIX	31	CEF	First Trust Enhanced Equity Income Common	FFA	58	CEF	Madison Covered Call & Equity Strategy	MCN
5	MFd	AMG FQ US Equity - Institutional	MEQFX	32	ETF	First Trust High Income ETF	FTHI	59	CEF	Madison Strategic Sector Premium Common	MSP
6	MFd	Arin Large Cap Theta - Institutional	AVOLX	33	ETF	First Trust Low Beta Income ETF	FTLB	60	MFd	MD Sass Equity Income Plus Fund - Institutional	MDEIX
7	MFd	ASTON/Anchor Capital Enhanced Equity - Institutional	AMDSX	34	MFd	Frost Cinque Large Cap Buy-Write Equity - Class A	FCAWX	61	CEF	Nuveen Core Equity Alpha Common	JCE
8	CEF	BlackRock Enhanced Capital & Income Common	CII	35	MFd	Gateway - Class A	GATEX	62	CEF	Nuveen Dow 30 Dynamic Overwrite	DIAX
9	CEF	BlackRock Enhanced Equity Dividend Common	BDJ	36	MFd	Gateway Equity Call Premium - Class A	GCPAX	63	CEF	Nuveen NASDAQ 100 Dynamic Overwrite	QQQX
10	MFd	BPV Low Volatility	BPVLX	37	MFd	Glenmede Secured Options	GTSOX	64	CEF	Nuveen S&P 500 Buy-Write Income	BMMX
11	MFd	BPV Wealth Preservation Advisor	BPAPX	38	MFd	GMO Risk Premium - Class III	GMRPX	65	CEF	Nuveen S&P 500 Dynamic Overwrite	SPXX
12	MFd	Bridgeway Managed Volatility	BRBPX	39	CEF	Guggenheim Enhanced Equity Income Common	GPM	66	CEF	Nuveen Tax-Advantaged Dividend Growth Common	JTD
13	MFd	Camelot Excalibur Small Cap Income - Class A	CEXAX	40	CEF	Guggenheim Enhanced Equity Strategy Common	GGE	67	ETF	PowerShares S&P 500 Buy-Write ETF	PBP
14	MFd	Camelot Premium Return - Class A	CPRFX	41	CEF	Guggenheim EW Enhanced Equity Common	GEQ	68	ETF	Recon Capital NASDAQ 100 Covered Call ETF	QYLD
15	MFd	Catalyst/Lyons Hedged Premium Return - Class A	CLPAX	42	MFd	Hatteras Disciplined Opportunity - Institutional	HDOIX	69	MFd	RiverNorth Managed Volatility - Class R	RNBWX
16	MFd	Catalyst/SMH Total Return Income - Class A	TRIFX	43	ETF	Horizons S&P 500® Covered Call ETF	HSPX	70	MFd	RiverPark Structural Alpha - Institutional	RSAIX
17	MFd	Centaur Total Return	TILD	44	ETF	Horizons US Equity Managed Risk ETF	HUS.U	71	MFd	RiverPark/Gargoyle Hedged Value - Institutional	RGHIX
18	CEF	Columbia Seligman Premium Technology	STK	45	MFd	Hussman Strategic Growth	HSGFX	72	MFd	Russell Strategic Call Overwriting - Class S	ROWSX
19	MFd	Covered Bridge - Class A	TCBAX	46	MFd	ICON Risk-Managed Balanced - Class A	IOCAX	73	MFd	Schooner - Class A	SCNAX
20	MFd	Credit Suisse Volaris US Strategies - Class A	VAEAX	47	MFd	Investment Partners Opportunities - Class A	IPOFX	74	MFd	Schooner Hedged Alternative Income - Institutional	SHAIX
21	MFd	Crow Point Defined Risk Global Equity Income - Class A	CGHAX	48	MFd	Iron Horse - Class A	IRHAX	75	MFd	Swan Defined Risk - Class I	SDRIX
22	MFd	Dividend Plus Income Fund - Institutional	MAIPX	49	MFd	Ironclad Managed Risk	IRONX	76	MFd	Touchstone Dynamic Equity - Class Y	TDEYX
23	MFd	Dunham Monthly Distribution Fund - Class A	DAMDX	50	MFd	JHancock Redwood - Class A	JTRAX	77	ETF	US Equity High Volatility Put Write ETF	HVPW
24	CEF	Eaton Vance Enhanced Equity Income Common	EOI	51	MFd	KF Griffin Blue Chip Covered Call - Class A	KFGAX	78	MFd	Virtus Low Volatility Equity - Class A	VLVAX
25	CEF	Eaton Vance Enhanced Equity Income II Common	EOS	52	MFd	Kinetics Multi-Disciplinary Advisor - Class A	KMDAX	79	MFd	WP Large Cap Income Plus - Institutional	WPLCX
26	MFd	Eaton Vance Hedged Stock - Institutional	EROIX	53	MFd	KKM ARMOR A	RMRAX	80	MFd	YCG Enhanced	YCGEX
27	CEF	Eaton Vance Risk-Managed Diversified Equity Income Common	ETJ	54	MFd	KKM US Equity ARMOR A	UMRAX				

Exhibit 23: Eighty options-based equity funds are used in the analysis. These funds consist of 51 mutual funds (MFd), 22 closed-end funds (CEF), and 7 exchange-traded index funds (ETF). The sample has a current AUM of \$27.6 billion.

As shown in this exhibit, 39 additional options-based funds with objectives other than diversified US equity have been identified, bringing the AUM to over \$46 billion. Funds benchmarked to indices other than US equities are beyond the scope of this study.

Sources: *Morningstar* and *Bloomberg*.

Exhibit 24 - List of 39 Additional Options-Based Funds (Not Included in Analysis)

TYPE	NAME	TICKER	TYPE	NAME	TICKER		
1	ETF	AdvisorShares STAR Global Buy-Write ETF	VEGA	21	CEF	JH Hedged Equity & Income Fund	HEQ
2	CEF	AllianzGI Equity & Convertible Income	NIE	22	CEF	JH Tax Advantaged Global Shareholder Yield	HTY
3	MFd	AMG FQ Global Risk-Balanced - Institutional	MMAFX	23	CEF	Kayne Anderson Midstream Energy	KMF
4	CEF	BlackRock Global Opportunities	BOE	24	MFd	Kinetics Alternative Income - No Load	KWINX
5	CEF	BlackRock Health Sciences	BME	25	MFd	Kinetics Multi-Disciplinary - No Load	KMDNX
6	CEF	BlackRock Resources & Commodity	BCX	26	CEF	MS India Investment	IIF
7	CEF	BlackRock Utility & Infrastructure Trust	BUI	27	CEF	Nuveen Diversified Commodity	CFD
8	MFd	Catalyst/MAP Global Capital Appreciation - Class A	CAXAX	28	CEF	Nuveen Long/Short Commodity Total Return	CTF
9	MFd	Catalyst/MAP Global Total Return Income - Class A	TRXAX	29	MFd	Regal Total Return - Class A	RTRTX
10	CEF	Central Securities Corporation	CET	30	MFd	Robeco Boston Partners All Cap Value - Institutional	BPAIX
11	CEF	Clough Global Opportunities	GLO	31	CEF	Salient Midstream & MLP	SMM
12	CEF	EV Tax-Managed Global Diversity Equity Income	EXG	32	MFd	Sandalwood Opportunity - Class A	SANAX
13	CEF	Fiduciary/Claymore MLP Opportunity	FMO	33	MFd	Virtus Strategic Income - Class A	VASBX
14	ETF	First Trust CBOE® S&P 500 VIX® Tail Hedge ETF	VIXH	34	CEF	Voya Global Advantage and Premium Opportunity	IGA
15	CEF	First Trust MLP & Energy Income Fund	FEI	35	CEF	Voya Global Equity Dividend&Premium Opportunity	IGD
16	CEF	Gabelli Equity Trust	GAB	36	CEF	Voya Infrastructure Industrials & Materials	IDE
17	CEF	GAMCO Global Gold Natural Resource & Income	GGN	37	CEF	Voya International High Dividend Equity Income	IID
18	CEF	GAMCO Natural Resource Gold & Income	GNT	38	CEF	Voya Natural Resources Equity Income	IRR
19	MFd	Gateway International - Class A	GAIA	39	CEF	Wells Fargo Adv Global Dividend Opportunity	EOD
20	MFd	Glenmede International Secured Options	NOVIX				

Exhibit 24: 39 Additional Options-Based Funds with \$18.6 billion AUM (Not Used in Analysis). These include 26 CEFs with AUM of \$16.9 Billion, 11 Mutual Funds with AUM of \$1.7 Billion and 2 ETFs with AUM of \$30 Million. Additionally, two ETNs were identified (GLDI and BWV) which are not included in the above list.

Sources: Morningstar and Bloomberg.

The inclusion of references to registered funds in this paper should not be construed as an endorsement or an indication of the value of any product, security, fund, service, or other website. Such financial products are not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by CBOE or INGARM. CBOE and INGARM make no representation regarding the advisability of investing in such products. An investor should consider the investment objectives, risks, charges, and expenses of these products carefully before investing. Before investing in any fund or security, please read closely the applicable prospectus and other legal information.

Chicago Board Options Exchange® (CBOE®) provided financial support for the research for this paper.

Options involve risk and are not suitable for all investors. Prior to buying or selling an option, a person must receive a copy of Characteristics and Risks of Standardized Options. Copies are available from your broker, by calling 1-888-OPTIONS, or from The Options Clearing Corporation at www.theocc.com. The information in this paper is provided for general education and information purposes only. No statement within this paper should be construed as a recommendation to buy or sell a security or to provide investment advice. The BXM, BXY, CLL and PUT indices (the "Indexes") are designed to represent proposed hypothetical options strategies. The actual performance of investment vehicles such as mutual funds or managed accounts can have significant differences from the performance of the Indexes. Investors attempting to replicate the Indexes should discuss with their advisors possible timing and liquidity issues. Like many passive benchmarks, the Indexes do not take into account significant factors such as transaction costs and taxes. Transaction costs and taxes for strategies such as the Indexes could be significantly higher than transaction costs for a passive strategy of buying-and-holding stocks. Investors should consult their tax advisor as to how taxes affect the outcome of contemplated options transactions.

Past performance does not guarantee future results. This document contains index performance data based on back-testing, i.e., calculations of how the index might have performed prior to launch. Back-tested performance information is purely hypothetical and is provided in this paper solely for informational purposes. Back-tested performance does not represent actual performance and should not be interpreted as an indication of actual performance. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. CBOE calculates and disseminates the Indexes. Supporting documentation for any claims, comparisons, statistics or other technical data in this paper is available from CBOE upon request.

The methodologies of the Indexes are the property of Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (CBOE). CBOE®, Chicago Board Options Exchange®, CBOE Volatility Index® and VIX® are registered trademarks and BXM, BXY, BuyWrite, CLL, PUT, PutWrite and SPX are service marks of CBOE. S&P® and S&P 500® are registered trademarks of Standard and Poor's Financial Services, LLC and are licensed for use by CBOE. Financial products based on S&P indices are not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by Standard & Poor's, and Standard & Poor's makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in such products. All other trademarks and service marks are the property of their respective owners. The Indexes and all other information provided by CBOE and its affiliates and their respective directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives and third party providers of information (the "Parties") in connection with the Indexes (collectively "Data") are presented "as is" and without representations or warranties of any kind. The Parties shall not be liable for loss or damage, direct, indirect or consequential, arising from any use of the Data or action taken in reliance upon the Data. Redistribution, reproduction and/or photocopying in whole or in part are prohibited without the written permission of CBOE.

More information (including a later version of this paper) is or will be available at www.ingarm.org and www.cboe.com/funds.

Please email comments to eszado@providence.edu, kblack@caia.org or institutional@cboe.com.

Copyright © 2015 CBOE. All Rights Reserved.